

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	VII
<b>Outlines for Comparative History Proposed by Practicing Historians</b> <i>Benjamin Z. Kedar</i>	1
<b>Comparative History: Methodology and Ethos</b> <i>Jürgen Kocka</i>	29
<b>Comparative History: The Pivot of Historiography</b> <i>Diego Olstein</i>	37
<b>The Scope of Comparison: The Roman, Spanish and Inca Empires</b> <i>Sabine MacCormack</i>	53
<b>The Meeting of Worlds: Did Early Modern Expansion Lead to Globalization?</b> <i>Tamar Herzog</i>	75
<b>Questions of Comparability: Russian Serfdom and American Slavery</b> <i>Michael Confino</i>	92
<b>Eighteenth-Century Globalization: Renewal and Reform in Islam</b> <i>Nehemia Levtzion</i>	113
<b>The Modern Japanese Political System – A Comparative View -</b> <i>S.N. Eisenstadt</i>	137

<b>Globalization and the Welfare State</b> <i>Peter Baldwin</i>	171
<b>The Use of Feudalism in Comparative History</b> <i>Susan Reynolds</i>	191
<b>Teaching Comparative History: A Course on Christian, Muslim and Jewish Women in Medieval Times</b> <i>Elisheva Baumgarten, Esther Cohen and Ruth Roded</i>	219
<b>The Contributors</b>	239

## Introduction

Discussions of comparative history's standing within the historical profession are marked by an inherent inconsistency. Historians have repeatedly endorsed comparative history as an antidote to national history's faults but at the same time expressed skepticism as to the possibility of it being practiced by a considerable proportion of the profession. Endorsement and skepticism are well founded. A historian who limits his study to a single country – in too many cases, his own – is very often unable to perceive the true contours of its uniqueness or to comprehend that the local phenomena he studies are merely variants of some general ones; transnational comparison may help him overcome such shortcomings. On the other hand, comparative history is considered as too demanding. Historians, especially in this era of unprecedented publication, have difficulty keeping abreast with the research done in their own area; how can they be expected to cope with primary sources and secondary literature pertaining to a second area, to say nothing of a third or fourth?

Several outlines of comparative history that practicing historians have published during the past four decades provide a possible way out of this quandary. These outlines distinguish between a “hard,” systematic, comparative history, which requires a full mastery of the history of two or more entities and tests hypotheses by examining all the pertinent data, and “soft” varieties, which focus on one entity but widen a historian's horizons by having recourse to secondary literature pertaining to another entity – or to several other entities – so as to gain a wider perspective, think up new questions and elicit insights. The variety that Jürgen Kocka has aptly called the “asymmetrical comparison” is especially promising. For instance, a student writing her dissertation on the Public Works Department in British Palestine in the years 1920–48 might understand the subject of her choice far better were she to delve into the secondary literature dealing with parallel bodies in, say, British India and the Sudan, to say nothing of Britain itself; it stands to reason that such reading would lead her to ask questions about British Palestine's PWD she would otherwise have not formulated, and it is well-nigh certain that only by such comparative reading would she be able to discover the particularities of Palestine's PWD. A comparison with parallel organizations in neighboring French Syria and Lebanon would have a similar effect. Moreover, the adoption of a comparative perspective would free the dissertation from constriction to local history and allow its author to join a larger community of scholars traversing political and linguistic boundaries.

Most articles in the present volume are based on papers delivered at the First and Second Spring Schools in Comparative History held at the Institute for Advanced Studies of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. The first School, directed by Patricia Crone and myself, lasted from 5 to 9 May 2002 and discussed a number of cross-comparisons (i.e., studies comparing societies separated by time and space) and parallel comparisons (i.e., studies comparing contemporary and neighboring societies), as well as comparative history's prospects and pitfalls in general. The second School, directed by S.N. Eisenstadt, lasted from 16 to 19 June 2003 and compared diverse forms of globalization, the basic assumption being that – contrary to theories that regard globalization as an exclusively modern or contemporary phenomenon – partial globalizations have already developed in earlier historical periods and in various regions. The two Schools took place at the height of the second Palestinian uprising, with continuous violence in Jerusalem and elsewhere in the country. A few of the scholars from abroad who had agreed to lecture in the Schools eventually chose to stay home – in one extreme case, just a couple of days before scheduled arrival. Yet these cancellations did not critically affect the Schools, as in almost all cases we were able to recruit spirited scholars to replace the more fainthearted ones. The lecturers who decided to abide by their original commitments and come to a city repeatedly harassed by suicide bombers, surely deserve respect; but I believe that their pursuit of academic activities within so charged an atmosphere gave them also an unforgettable life experience.

The volume opens with my survey of the main outlines for comparative history offered by practicing historians from the late nineteenth century down to the present, tracing the outlines' interconnections and remarkably slow convergence. It is followed by Jürgen Kocka's discussion of the ethical implications of comparative history and the ethical problems to which it – and especially asymmetrical comparisons – may give rise. Diego Olstein concludes this part of the volume by arguing that comparative history is well suited to bridge the gap between monographic and macro-history.

The second part of the volume contains a number of studies that exemplify the potential of symmetrical, asymmetrical, parallel and cross-comparisons. Sabine MacCormack combines her unique mastery of the histories of both Ancient Rome and Pre-Columbian and Spanish America to offer a symmetrical cross-comparison of the Roman and Inca empires. Tamar Herzog provides an asymmetrical cross-comparison between early modern European expansion and present-day globalization, underlining the historian's dilemma between describing a past he or she reconstructs today and a past experienced by contemporaries. Michael Confino offers a basically asymmetrical comparison – partially parallel as far as chronology is concerned – that contrasts serfdom in Russia and slavery in the American

South. Nehemia Levtzion presents a symmetrical, parallel comparison of a large number of Muslim networks of renewal that emerged in the eighteenth century, from West Africa to China and Southeast Asia (although the fact goes unmentioned in this posthumously published article, a systematic comparison of these extraordinarily widespread networks led to the discovery of their common origin). S.N. Eisenstadt studies the modern Japanese political system within the framework of analyzing multiple modernities; his is an asymmetrical, parallel comparison. Peter Baldwin provides a symmetrical, parallel comparison of modern welfare states and raises a series of intriguing questions about their future. Finally, Susan Reynolds argues that comparative studies of feudalism exemplify the pitfalls that beset attempts to compare phenomena described by the same word yet essentially differing from one another. Though such attempts may be stimulating, she contends that instead of comparing entire societies vaguely labeled as feudal, historians may do better by comparing specific elements of different societies and establishing the extent to which they tend to cluster together.

The volume concludes with a report by Elisheva Baumgarten, Esther Cohen and Ruth Roded – on the problems they encountered when they joined forces to teach a course in comparative history.

I would like to express my thanks to the staff of the Institute for Advanced Studies, and especially to Pnina Feldman, Ofer Arbeli, Smadar Bergman, Shani Freiman and Batia Matalov for their help in organizing the Spring Schools in comparative history; to Evelyn Katrak for editing the papers; and to Hai Tsabar and Ram Goldberg of the Magnes Press for their cooperation, to John Hooper for having read the proofs and to Barry Sheridan for the graphic layout of the book.

Jerusalem. October 2009

B.Z.K.

## The contributors

*Peter Baldwin* is Professor of History at the University of California, Los Angeles. His interests are in the comparative history of the modern state in Europe and North America. His books include: *The Politics of Social Solidarity: Class Bases of the European Welfare State, 1875-1975* (1990), *Contagion and the State in Europe, 1830-1930* (1999), *Disease and Democracy: The Industrialized World Faces AIDS* (2005), and *The Narcissism of Minor Differences: How Unlike Each Other Are Europe and America?* (2008).

*Elisheva Baumgarten*, Senior Lecturer in the Department of Jewish History and the Gender Studies Graduate Program at Bar Ilan University, is a social historian who works on gender and Jewish-Christian relations in the Jewish communities of medieval Northern France and Germany. She has published *Mothers and Children: Jewish Family Life in Medieval Europe* (2004) and articles that discuss medieval life cycle rituals, midwifery and medicine, as well as children and their education. Her current project focuses on gender and piety in medieval Germany and Northern France, comparing Jewish and Christian practices and beliefs.

*Esther Cohen* is Professor of Medieval History at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. She has written books and articles on customary law and culture in late medieval Europe, and published several articles on the comparative attitudes of law, medicine, and theology to sensory pain. She has recently completed a monographic study on pain in the Middle Ages. Her publications include *The Crossroads of Justice: Law and Society in Late Medieval France* (1993), *Peaceable Domain, Certain Justice: Crime and Society in Fifteenth-Century Paris* (1996); “Law, Folklore, and Animal Lore,” *Past and Present* 110 (February 1986), 6-37, concerning the legal and cultural significance of medieval and early modern animal trials, and “The Animated Pain of the Body,” *American Historical Review* 105 (2000), 36-68.

*Michael Confino* is Professor Emeritus of Russian and East European History at Tel Aviv University and Member of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. He has written books and articles on the history of Russian society, the structure of agrarian systems, and the evolution of intellectual currents. His publications in comparative history include: “Current Problems in Comparative Social History: The Case of the European Nobilities,” in *Modern Age – Modern Historian. In Memoriam György Ránki (1930-1988)*, ed. F. Glatz (1990), pp. 87-97; “Re-Inventing the Enlightenment: Western Images of Eastern Realities in the Eighteenth-Century,” *Canadian Slavonic Papers*, 36/3-4 (1994), 505-22; “Religion and the Political Powers in the History of the Eastern Orthodox Church. A Comparative View,” in *Comparing Modernities:*

*Pluralism Versus Homogeneity. Essays in Homage of S.N. Eisenstadt*, ed. E. Ben-Rafael and Y. Sternberg (2005), pp. 339-63; and “Political Murder in Russian History. A Comparative Perspective” (forthcoming).

*S.N. Eisenstadt*, Professor Emeritus of Sociology at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and Senior Research Fellow at the Jerusalem Van Leer Institute, is member of many academies and recipient of numerous honorary doctoral degrees. Areas of major interest: Comparative research of modernities and civilizations; the historical experience of Japan from a comparative perspective; patterns of civil society and democracy in different societies and cultures; changing movements and heterodoxy in civilizational dynamics; sociological and macro-sociological theory.

*Tamar Herzog* is Professor of History at Stanford University. Her work examines the relationship between Spain and Spanish America and the ways by which Spanish society on both sides of the ocean changed as a result of its involvement in a colonial project. Her publications include *Mediación, archivos y ejercicio: los escribanos de Quito (siglo XVII-XVIII)* (1996), *Ritos de control, prácticas de negociación* (2000), *Defining Nations: Immigrants and Citizens in Early Modern Spain and Spanish America* (2003) *Upholding Justice: State, Law and the Penal System in Quito* (2004), as well as numerous articles.

*Benjamin Z. Kedar*, Professor Emeritus of History at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, employed varieties of the comparative approach in his *Merchants in Crisis: Genoese and Venetian Men of Affairs and the Fourteenth-Century Depression* (1976), *Crusade and Mission: European Approaches toward the Muslims* (1984), *The Changing Land between the Jordan and the Sea: Aerial Photographs from 1917 to the Present* (1999), and in articles such as “Expulsion as an Issue of World History,” *Journal of World History* 7 (1996), 165-80, and “Dimensioni comparative del pellegrinaggio medievale,” in *Fra Roma e Gerusalemme nel Medioevo*, ed. M. Oldoni (2005), 1: 255-77.

*Jürgen Kocka* is Professor of Modern History at the Freie Universität Berlin, holds a research professorship at the Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung, and is director of the Berliner Kolleg für Vergleichende Geschichte Europas. His many comparative works include *White Collar Workers in America 1890-1940. A Social-Political History in International Perspective* (1980), *Angestellte im europäischen Vergleich: Die Herausbildung angestellter Mittelschichten seit dem späten 19. Jahrhundert* (1981), *Arbeiter und Bürger im 19. Jahrhundert: Varianten ihres Verhältnisses im europäischen Vergleich* (1986), *Bürgertum im 19. Jahrhundert: Deutschland im europäischen Vergleich* (1995). He also co-edited, with Allan Mitchell,

*Bourgeois Society in Nineteenth-Century Europe* (1993), and, with Heinz-Gerhard Haupt, *Comparative History and the Quest for Transnationality: Central European Approaches and New Perspectives* (2009).

*Nehemia Levtzion* (1935-2003) was Professor of Islamic and Middle Eastern Studies at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and President of Israel's Open University. His research dealt with the history of Islam in Africa, Sufi orders, renewal and reform in Islam, and Islam in international politics. He published *Muslims and Chiefs in West Africa* (1968), *Ancient Ghana and Mali* (1973) and *Islam in West Africa: Religion, Society and Politics to 1800* (1994); co-authored *Islam: An Introduction to the Religion's History* (3 vols., 1998-2003; in Hebrew); edited *Conversion to Islam* (1979); and co-edited *Rural and Urban Islam in West Africa* (1986), *Eighteenth-Century Renewal and Reform in Islam* (1987), *The History of Islam in Africa* (2000), and *The Public Sphere in Muslim Societies* (2002).

*Sabine G. MacCormack* is Professor of Arts and Letters, as well as Professor of History and Classics, at the University of Notre Dame. Her wide-ranging scholarly interests include the Roman Empire and late antiquity, the Andean region in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, and interaction between Andean and European cultures and religions. She has published *Art and Ceremony in Late Antiquity* (1981), *Religion in the Andes: Vision and Imagination in Early Colonial Peru* (1991), *The Shadows of Poetry: Vergil in the Mind of Augustine* (1998) and *On the Wings of Time: Rome, the Incas, Spain and Peru* (2006), as well as many journal articles and book chapters.

*Diego Olstein* is Lecturer of History at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. His research concentrates on two areas: Medieval Spain and Macro-Histories (Comparative, Global, and World History). His publications include *La era mozárabe: Los mozárabes de Toledo (siglos XII y XIII) en la historiografía, las fuentes y la historia* (2006) in the first area and several articles in the second, such as "Globalization and Historical Writing since the "Global Village,"" *Comparativ* 14 (2004), 102-16; "Le molteplici origini della globalizzazione," *Contemporanea* 3 (2006), 403-22; "Comparative History and World History: Contrasts and Contacts," in *In Laudem Hierosolymitani*, ed. Iris Shagrir et al. (2007).

*Susan Reynolds* taught medieval history as Fellow of Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford, from 1964 to 1986. Since retirement she has been a Fellow of the Institute of Historical Research, London. Her interest in comparative history developed through writing *Kingdoms and Communities in Western Europe 900-1300* (Oxford, 1984; second edition with new introduction, 1997) and *Fiefs and Vassals: the Medieval Evidence Reinterpreted* (Oxford, 1994). Other

comparative studies include “The emergence of professional law in the long twelfth century,” *Law and History Review* 21 (2003), 347-66. She is now working on the history of expropriation for the common good in Europe and the USA, with glances elsewhere.

*Ruth Roded*, Associate Professor of Islamic and Middle Eastern Studies at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, deals with social and cultural history; for over two decades she has been focusing on gender. She published *Women in Islamic Biographical Collections From Ibn Sa'd to Who's Who* (1994), and subsequently worked on twentieth-century gendered perceptions of the life of the Prophet Muhammad. She is currently working on the monograph “Muslim Women Transformed: Disseminating Islamic Gender Messages through Modern Perceptions of the Prophet Muhammad’s Life-Story,” and heads a research team on “The Gendered Other: Muslims and Jews in the Middle East – Parallels, Contacts, Divergence.”